

EASE Quick Check Table For Submissions

Sylwia Ufnalska and Alison Terry When preparing a scientific manuscript for submission to a journal, it is often time-consuming for authors to find the journal's specific preferences and requirements, many of which can influence immediate rejection or acceptance of a paper.

Submission guidance can be similarly tricky for journal editors too, for whom there are few convenient resources available to guide or standardise the presentation of instructions for authors and formatting guidelines.

The EASE Quick Check Table For Submissions is a template intended to make life easier for both authors and editors by summarising requirements into a simple table at the start of instructions for authors, clearly displaying the essential information that is required for the paper to move to the peer review process.

How to use this table

The table includes spaces for the most commonly featured measures such as word count, number of keywords, format of tables and figures, reference style, journal policies and additional notes. This table is intended to be easily updated, for flexibility between journals, and for amendments to be made as journal preferences change.

Authors are encouraged to create one version of this table for each of their target journals, read the full author instructions of each and update this form with the full submission requirements to check against during the writing process, and before submission to ensure compliance before uploading or sending files to the editors.

Journal editors are encouraged to develop the core features of this table into the full set of styles, formats and requirements they wish to use for their journal. Journals may make a version of this table available for authors to help format and check their articles before submission. This form was first created in September 2020 by Sylwia Ufnalska and Alison Terry, in cooperation with other EASE member editors, and published as a proposal in EASE journal, European Science Editing¹. Updated in April 2023 by Duncan Nicholas.

Definitions for models of open peer review are taken from Defining Open Peer Review: Part Two - Seven Traits of OPR by Tony Ross-Hellauer²

The Quick Check Table For Submissions can be found on the EASE website: https://ease.org.uk/publications/ease-statements-resources/quick-check-table-for-submissions/

More EASE Statements, Guidelines and Resources are available on the EASE Publications web pages: <u>https://ease.org.uk/publications/</u>

References

- Ufnalska S, Terry A (2020) Proposed universal framework for more userfriendly author instructions. European Science Editing 46: e53477. <u>https://doi.org/10.3897/ese.2020.e53477</u>
- Ross-Hallauer T (2016) Defining Open Peer Review: Part Two Seven Traits of OPR. OpenAIRE, 02 Nov 2016. <u>https://www.openaire.eu/blogs/defining-open-peer-review-part-two-seven-traits-of-opr-1</u>

EASE Quick Check Table For Submissions

Quick Check Table: EXAMPLE



GENERAL	Manuscripts should be COMPLETE, CONCISE and CLEAR (see
	<u>EASE Guidelines</u> , available in many languages).
GUIDELINES	Follow the appropriate <u>reporting guidelines</u> where applicable.

WORD LIMITS, etc.

Body text Original articles <other article="" types=""></other>	\leq X words (justified exceptions allowed)
Abstract Original articles <other article="" types=""></other>	≤ X words; structured for original research (BACKGROUND, OBJECTIVES, METHODS, RESULTS, and CONCLUSIONS)
Keywords Original articles <other article="" types=""></other>	≤ X terms, singular, separated with commas; lowercase except proper names; avoid abbreviations
Highlights/ Key Message (below the abstract)	X-Y bullet points (\leq X words each, describing the study in lay terms)
Tables/figures Original articles <other article="" types=""></other>	Stables/figures in total. Their description (captions, values, units, etc.) should be consistent and informative, with all abbreviations explained

TITLE PAGE INFORMATION

Title	\leq X characters, with a description of the study type, if relevant
Short running title	\leq X characters
Author names	Full name: given name(s) first, family name last
Affiliation information required	Department, institution, postal address, email
Corresponding author contact details	Indicate with an asterisk, supply phone number
Persistent identifiers of author(s), etc.	ORCID iD(s), identifiers research project(s), if applicable

STRUCTURE OF BODY TEXT, END MATTER, REFERENCES

Typical headings	Introduction (background and objectives), Methods, Results,
Original articles	Discussion (with a concluding paragraph)
<other article="" types=""></other>	

Subheadings	\leq X levels of subheadings, not numbered
Specific wording required for any section	Methods section must include usual ethical approval for human and animal studies (Helsinki/Institutional Review Board compliance, informed consent) and subsection "Statistical analysis", identifying the variables and methods used
End matter (e.g. authorship contributions)	Identify authors by initials in the authorship contributions section (consider using <u>CRediT</u>) and add information about funding, if received
References maximum number Original articles <other article="" types=""></other>	Not limited (with DOIs, URN, PURL, etc. if applicable)
Referencing style	X style required after acceptance (not for initial submission); for more details and examples, see <url>. Endnote style can be downloaded here <url>. Make sure that each citation is complete and accurate</url></url>
FORMATTING	
Spelling	UK or US, if consistent
General style	 Numbers ≥ 2 as numerals (for exceptions, see <u>EASE</u> <u>Guidelines</u>) Statistics: p ≤ 0.001, n = ##
SUBMISSION NOTES	
Cover letter Required? Specific details to be mentioned?	Not required but text field in the online submission system allows for comments to the editorial office. Inform if the study was presented elsewhere
Links to all required author forms needed at submission or after acceptance? Signed by all authors or by submitting author?	 <u>EASE Ethics Checklist</u> signed by corresponding author, at submission <u>EASE Form</u> and/or <u>ICMJE COI forms</u> signed by all authors, at submission
Proposed reviewers Optional / mandatory? How many? What details are required?	Optional: suggest/oppose up to 3 potential reviewers (full name, email, institution). Consider diverse peer reviewers in terms of sex/gender, ethnicity and geographical distribution
T ables Required as separate files?	Include at the end of the manuscript (separate pages)
Figures Required as separate files? Any formats preferred?	For initial submission, figures can be embedded in the manuscript. If it is accepted, high-resolution files (EPS/TIFF/RAW) will be required

Supplementary files	Upload any supporting data or other required files for review
Fees and payments For open access, colour images, pages etc.?	Publication fee X. Colour free online but X per figure for print

JOURNAL POLICIES, etc.

Publication model (Subscription / hybrid / full open access?)	Open access, licence X; fee structure: <url></url>
Preprint and prepublication	Articles already available on preprint servers or published in another language can be accepted but this must be declared at the time of submission
Data sharing	Recommended repositories: Clinical trials must include a data sharing statement; other studies may also do so
Peer review model* (Single- / Double- / Triple- anonymised / Open review?)	External, double-blind review usually by X reviewers. Editors make the final decision
Manuscript acceptance / rejection rate	About X% submitted manuscripts are accepted. Average time from submission to first decision without peer review: x days (x weeks) Average time from submission to first decision after peer review: x days (x weeks) Average time from submission to final decision: x days (x weeks)
Peer review and production times	Average time from acceptance to accepted manuscript online: x days Average time from acceptance to final publication: x days (x weeks)
Citation and Alternative Metrics	Impact Factor 5-year Impact Factor SNIP SJR CiteScore Eigenfactor Immediacy Index Cited/citing half-life Altmetric Score / badge

ADDITIONAL DETAILS

Use this space to provide details of any features or requirements of note that do not fit any other categories of this table

ease Quick Check Table For Submissions

* Peer review systems:

Anonymised review models:

- Single-anonymised: authors do not know the identity of reviewers
- Double- anonymised: neither authors or reviewers know the identity of each other
- Triple- anonymised: same as double, with the addition editors do not know the identity of authors/institution

Open review models

- Open identities: Authors and reviewers are aware of each other's identity
- Open reports: Review reports published alongside the relevant article
- Open participation: The wider community contribute to the review process
- Open interaction: Direct reciprocal discussion between author(s) and reviewers, and/or between reviewers, is allowed and encouraged
- Open pre-review manuscripts: Manuscripts are made immediately available (e.g., via preprint servers like ArXiv) in advance of any formal peer review procedures
- Open final-version commenting: Review or commenting on final "version of record" publications

Open platforms: Review is de-coupled from publishing in that it is facilitated by a different organizational entity than the venue of publication

ease publications

European Association of Science Editors

Secretary / <u>secretary@ease.org.uk</u> EASE website / <u>ease.org.uk</u> Twitter / <u>twitter.com/EASEeditors</u> LinkedIn / <u>linkedin.com/company/easeeditors</u> Facebook / <u>facebook.com/EASEeditors/</u> YouTube / <u>youtube.com/c/EASEeditors</u> European Science Editing / <u>ese.arphahub.com</u> European Science Editing Twitter / <u>twitter.com/Eur Sci Ed</u>