

When preparing a scientific manuscript for submission to a journal, it is often time-consuming for authors to find the journal's specific preferences and requirements, many of which can influence immediate rejection or acceptance of a paper.

Submission guidance can be similarly tricky for journal editors too, for whom there are few convenient resources available to guide or standardise the presentation of instructions for authors and formatting guidelines.

The EASE Quick Check Table For Submissions is a template intended to make life easier for both authors and editors by summarising requirements into a simple table at the start of instructions for authors, clearly displaying the essential information that is required for the paper to move to the peer review process.

Introduction

How to use this table

The table includes spaces for the most commonly featured measures such as word count, number of keywords, format of tables and figures, reference style, journal policies and additional notes. This table is intended to be easily updated, for flexibility between journals, and for amendments to be made as journal preferences change.

Authors are encouraged to create one version of this table for each of their target journals, read the full author instructions of each and update this form with the full submission requirements to check against during the writing process, and before submission to ensure compliance before uploading or sending files to the editors.

Journal editors are encouraged to develop the core features of this table into the full set of styles, formats and requirements they wish to use for their journal. Journals may make a version of this table available for authors to help format and check their articles before submission.

This form was first created in September 2020 by Sylwia Ufnalska and Alison Terry, in cooperation with other EASE member editors, and published as a proposal in EASE journal, European Science Editing1. Updated in April 2023 by Duncan Nicholas.

Definitions for models of open peer review are taken from Defining Open Peer Review: Part Two - Seven Traits of OPR by Tony Ross-Hellauer2

The Quick Check Table For Submissions can be found on the EASE website: <https://ease.org.uk/publications/ease-statements-resources/quick-check-table-for-submissions/>

More EASE Statements, Guidelines and Resources are available on the EASE Publications web pages: <https://ease.org.uk/publications/>
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Quick Check Table



|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| GENERAL GUIDELINES | Manuscripts should be COMPLETE, CONCISE and CLEAR (see [*EASE Guidelines*](https://ease.org.uk/publications/author-guidelines-authors-and-translators/), available in many languages). Follow the appropriate [reporting guidelines](https://www.equator-network.org/reporting-guidelines/) where applicable. |
| WORD LIMITS, etc. |  |
| **Body text**Original articles<other article types> |  |
| **Abstract**Original articles<other article types> |  |
| **Keywords**Original articles<other article types> |  |
| **Highlights/ Key Message**(below the abstract) |  |
| **Tables/figures**Original articles<other article types> |  |
| TITLE PAGE INFORMATION |
| **Title**  |  |
| **Short running title** |  |
| **Author names** |  |
| **Affiliation information required** |  |
| **Corresponding author contact details** |  |
| **Persistent identifiers of author(s), etc.** |  |
| STRUCTURE OF BODY TEXT, END MATTER, REFERENCES |
| **Typical headings****Original articles**<other article types> |  |
| **Subheadings** |  |
| **Specific wording required for any section** |  |
| **End matter**(e.g. authorship contributions) |  |
| **References**maximum numberOriginal articles<other article types> |  |
| **Referencing style** |  |
| FORMATTING |
| **Spelling** |  |
| **General style** |  |  |
| SUBMISSION NOTES |
| **Cover letter**Required? Specific details to be mentioned? |  |
| **Links to all required author forms**needed at submission or after acceptance?Signed by all authors or by submitting author? |  |
| **Proposed reviewers** Optional / mandatory? How many?What details are required? |  |
| **Tables**Required as separate files? |  |
| **Figures**Required as separate files?Any formats preferred? |  |
| **Supplementary files** |  |
| **Fees and payments**For open access, colour images, pages etc.? |  |
| JOURNAL POLICIES, etc. |
| **Publication model**(Subscription / hybrid / full open access?) |  |
| **Preprint and prepublication**  |  |
| **Data sharing** |  |
| **Peer review model\***(Single- / Double- / Triple- anonymised / Open review?) |  |
| **Manuscript acceptance / rejection rate**  |  |
| **Peer review and production times** |  |
| **Citation and Alternative Metrics** |  |
| ADDITIONAL DETAILS |
| **Use this space to provide details of any features or requirements of note that do not fit any other categories of this table** |
|  |

\* Peer review systems:
**Anonymised review models:**

* Single-anonymised: authors do not know the identity of reviewers
* Double- anonymised: neither authors or reviewers know the identity of each other
* Triple- anonymised: same as double, with the addition editors do not know the identity of authors/institution

**Open review models**

* Open identities: Authors and reviewers are aware of each other's identity
* Open reports: Review reports published alongside the relevant article
* Open participation: The wider community contribute to the review process
* Open interaction: Direct reciprocal discussion between author(s) and reviewers, and/or between reviewers, is allowed and encouraged
* Open pre-review manuscripts: Manuscripts are made immediately available (e.g., via pre-print servers like ArXiv) in advance of any formal peer review procedures
* Open final-version commenting: Review or commenting on final “version of record” publications

Open platforms: Review is de-coupled from publishing in that it is facilitated by a different organizational entity than the venue of publication
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